UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,454	04/12/2006	Ko Inagaki	2006-0418A	3144
52349 7590 11/18/2008 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK L.L.P. 2033 K. STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			STIMPERT, PHILIP EARL	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Application No.						
Office Action Comments	10/575,454	INAGAKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Philip Stimpert	3746					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
<u>_</u>	nril 2006						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 April 2006</u> .						
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under z	Ex parte Quayle, 1933 C.D. 11, 43	0.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 April 2006</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		7. C.					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/12/2006, 7/24/2007</u> . 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/575,454 Page 2

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 9 and 10 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 5, 6, and 8-13 are objected to because of the following informalities: each of these claims recites "the hermetic compressor of any one of" a single claim. As these are not multiple dependent claims, this is unnecessary. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 4, 6, 7, and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,454 Page 3

Art Unit: 3746

5. Regarding claim 4, the limitation, "an upper end face" constitutes a second positive recitation of that element, after the previous recitation in claim 3.

6. These claims all recite "an annular gas passage in the sound deadening space." In light of the drawings, the intended scope of these claims is not clear. An annular gas flow (143) is shown in the drawings, and discussed in some detail in the specification on page 10, lines 20-26. However, this appears to refer only to a roughly circular flow within a generally open sound deadening space (142). There is no passage shown particularly containing this flow, other than the housing of the sound deadening space as a whole. And since an annular passage would generally be defined as a generally circular passage between two concentric walls, it is unclear to what the "annular gas passage" of these claims refers. Accordingly, the examiner is interpreting these claims broadly to require a sound deadening space in which the refrigerant gas circulates in a roughly circular, or at least round, path. To overcome this rejection, the examiner suggests either replacing the indefinite language with more appropriate language, or identifying the passage being described and clarifying its annular nature.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ide (US 6,361,290).

Application/Control Number: 10/575,454

Art Unit: 3746

Page 4

- 9. Regarding claim 1, Ide teaches a hermetic compressor (see Fig. 12) comprising a hermetic container (1) storing an oil (23), and a compressing element (2) accommodated in the hermetic container (1) and compressing a refrigerant gas (see col. 10, In. 61). Ide teaches that the compressing element (2) comprises a compressing chamber (21), a cylinder (4) forming the compressing chamber (21), a piston (5) inserted into the cylinder (4) and reciprocating, a suction muffler (8) whose one end (8b) communicates (col. 11, In. 38-42) with the compressing chamber (21). Further, Ide teaches that the suction muffler (8) has a sound deadening space (the cavity discussed in col. 12, In. 28 and visible in the drawings, for instance Fig. 1), a gas flow forming part (8a or 8b, as shown in Fig. 1) forming a gas flow flowing in a constant direction (namely into or out of the muffler 8, parallel to the axis of the relevant port) in the sound deadening space. Finally, Ide teach an oil discharge opening (col. 12, In. 65 through col. 13, In. 2) provided at a lower part of the sound deadening space (and therefore in a downstream side, since the flow starts at the top of the sound deadening space at inlet 8a).
- 10. Regarding claim 2, Ide teaches an inlet pipe (8a) whose one (interior) end opens to the sound deadening space, and whose other end opens to the hermetic container (1, see Fig. 13). Further, the inlet pipe (8a) is located on a right end face of the sound deadening space (as shown in Fig. 1) and thereby constitutes the gas flow forming part.
- 11. Regarding claim 3, Ide teaches an outlet pipe (8b, see Fig. 1) whose one (upper) end opens to the sound deadening space and whose other (lower) end opens to the compressing chamber (21), and that the outlet pipe (8b) opens while being extended to

Application/Control Number: 10/575,454

Art Unit: 3746

a lower face of the sound deadening space and thereby constitutes the gas flow forming part.

Page 5

- 12. Regarding claim 4, as shown in Fig. 5, Ide teaches that the outlet pipe (8b) extends in the plane of, and thus along, an upper end face (8d) of the sound deadening space. Please note that "an upper end face" does not require a face constituting an upper boundary of the sound deadening space.
- 13. Regarding claims 5 and 8-10, as shown in Fig. 13, Ide teaches that the lower face of the sound deadening space is constituted by a substantially horizontal face. Further, though Ide does not explicitly show the oil discharge opening, it would be provided at an end part of the lower face of the sound deadening space (note that end part may refer to the face as a whole, in its capacity as the lower end of the sound deadening space).
- 14. Regarding claims 6, 7 and 11-13, given the configuration of the sound deadening space shown in Fig. 1, for instance, it would be expected that the refrigerant gas circulate in a roughly circular path, thus satisfying this claim as best understood by the examiner.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Stimpert whose telephone number is (571)270-1890. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30AM-4:00PM, EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,454 Page 6

Art Unit: 3746

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Devon Kramer can be reached on (571) 272-7118. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Devon C Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3746

/P. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3746 14 November 2008